

1 Jeffrey D. Wexler, State Bar No. 132256  
2 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP  
3 725 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800  
4 Los Angeles, CA 90017-5406  
Telephone: (213) 488-7129  
Facsimile No.: (213) 629-1033  
[jeffrey.wexler@pillsburylaw.com](mailto:jeffrey.wexler@pillsburylaw.com)

5 Michael E. Bubman, State Bar No. 143468  
6 MIRMAN, BUBMAN & NAHMIAS, LLP  
7 21860 Burbank Boulevard, Suite 360  
8 Woodland Hills, CA 91367  
Telephone: (818) 451-4600  
Facsimile: (818) 451-4620  
[mbubman@mbnlawyers.com](mailto:mbubman@mbnlawyers.com)

9 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counterdefendants  
10 Dependable Solutions, Inc., Dependable  
Rights, Ltd., and Martin Malysz

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
WESTERN DIVISION

DEPENDABLE SOLUTIONS, INC.,  
a California corporation;  
DEPENDABLE RIGHTS, LTD., a  
United Kingdom private limited  
company; and MARTIN MALYSZ,

Case No. CV 15-7481 JFW (KLSx)

**FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT  
FOR RESCISSION BASED ON  
FRAUD IN THE INDUCEMENT**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiffs,  
v.

ZELJKO RAKOCEVIC, an individual; WESTEND SOFTWARE, INC., a California corporation; DOES 1 through 20, Inclusive.

## Defendants

**AND RELATED CROSS-COMPLAINT**

1 Plaintiffs Dependable Solutions, Inc., Dependable Rights, Ltd., and Martin  
2 Malysz (collectively, "Plaintiffs") allege as follows:

3 **BACKGROUND FACTS**

4 1. Plaintiff Dependable Solutions, Inc. ("DSI") is, and at all times  
5 mentioned was, a California corporation with a principal place of business in Los  
6 Angeles County, California.

7 2. Plaintiff Dependable Rights, Ltd. ("Dependable Rights") is a United  
8 Kingdom private limited company. Dependable Rights is a wholly owned subsidiary  
9 of DSI, and is a party to certain contracts at issue in this litigation. For that sole  
10 reason, Dependable Rights is a party herein.

11 3. Plaintiff Martin Malysz ("Malysz") is an individual who at all times  
12 relevant hereto resided in the City and County of Los Angeles.

13 4. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendant  
14 WestEnd Software, Inc. ("WestEnd") is, and at all times mentioned was, a California  
15 corporation with a principal place of business in Los Angeles County, California.

16 5. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon alleges, that Defendant  
17 Zeljko Rakocevic ("Zeljko") is an individual who all times relevant hereto resided in  
18 the City and County of Los Angeles. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon  
19 alleges that Zeljko was born in what is now Serbia, is the sole shareholder of  
20 defendant WestEnd, and exerts sole and exclusive management and control over that  
21 entity. Defendants WestEnd and Zeljko are hereafter collectively referred to as the  
22 "WestEnd Defendants."

23 6. Plaintiffs are currently unaware of the true names and identities of  
24 defendants, Does 1-20, and therefore sue such defendants by their fictitious names.  
25 Plaintiffs will amend this complaint when their true names and identities are  
26 ascertained.

27 7. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that each of the  
28 defendants is or was the agent, employee, partner, joint venturer, spouse, or domestic

1 partner of the other defendants and that each of the defendants was acting within the  
2 course and scope of said agency, employment, partnership, joint venture, or on  
3 behalf of the community property of his/her spouse or domestic partner in doing the  
4 things alleged herein.

5       8. In 2005 Malysz founded DSI. DSI was formed to provide a suite of  
6 software applications that automate contract management, financial, royalty and  
7 creative operations through web-based solutions for licensors, agents and licensees  
8 of consumer products.

9       9. DSI has become the recognized leader in consumer products rights  
10 licensing software. DSI's program suite, called "Dependable Rights Manager" or  
11 "DRM," was launched in 2005.

12      10. Pursuant to a June 29, 2007 letter agreement from DSI, countersigned  
13 by Zeljko on July 17, 2007, DSI hired Zeljko as Director of Development, an at-will,  
14 full-time salaried position. On July 17, 2007, Zeljko signed a DSI Employee  
15 Proprietary Information Agreement in which he agreed that, *inter alia*: "Any work  
16 produced during my period of employment at Dependable Solutions, Inc. will be  
17 considered 'work for hire.' All rights associated with such work will be completely  
18 (100%) owned by Dependable Solutions, Inc." Zeljko initially supervised the  
19 existing staff of three programmers and was tasked with upgrading the DRM suite of  
20 software applications.

21      11. By approximately early 2008 Zeljko had replaced all the American  
22 programmers with Serbian staff programmers. These new programmers reported  
23 exclusively to Zeljko and were supervised directly by him as Director of  
24 Development at DSI.

25      12. Zeljko requested that some of the Serbian programmers be paid part of  
26 their salaries through WestEnd to take advantage of certain alleged tax benefits  
27 related to his status as a Serbian national. Zeljko also requested that a portion of his  
28 DSI salary be paid to WestEnd for the same reasons. However, Zeljko and the

1 Serbian programmers were at all times staff employees of DSI. WestEnd was a mere  
2 conduit for partial payments by DSI to Zeljko and the Serbian programmers.

3       13. During Zeljko's employment at DSI from August 2007 through the end  
4 of May 2014, all software programming he participated in was done within the scope  
5 of his employment at DSI. Likewise, all software programming done by the Serbian  
6 programmers was done within the scope of their employment with DSI. All software  
7 programming done by Zeljko and the Serbian programmers was a work-for-hire by  
8 DSI and is owned by DSI, and DSI holds all copyrights thereto. This includes all  
9 updates and versions of the DRM software programmed through and by Zeljko and  
10 the Serbian programmers.

11       14. In 2012 Zeljko and Malysz entered into negotiations regarding  
12 transferring a 50% share of ownership in DSI from Malysz to Zeljko. Beginning in  
13 approximately August 2013 serious discussions and negotiations began between the  
14 parties which continued on and off through 2014. In approximately March of 2014  
15 an accounting firm was engaged to compile financial and accounting information for  
16 use of the parties in trying to come to an agreement to share ownership of DSI. No  
17 agreement regarding joint ownership was ever reached.

18       15. During the period from March through May 2014, and on prior  
19 occasions, DSI had demanded that Zeljko provide DSI with a copy of the source  
20 code to the DRM software, to place it into escrow as required by contracts with  
21 customers, and to provide all passwords and access codes to allow other employees  
22 of DSI to access, use and support the source code. Zeljko continually refused those  
23 demands.

24       16. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Zeljko intentionally retained  
25 sole access and control of the source code to use as leverage to try to obtain a 50%  
26 interest in DSI on terms favorable to him and unfavorable to Malysz. On a number  
27 of occasions in the Spring of 2014 Zeljko threatened to quit DSI, to keep the source  
28 code for himself, to prevent the DRM software from operating, and to make

1 defamatory remarks to DSI customers with the express intent to destroy Malysz and  
2 DSI.

3       17. When negotiations over possible joint ownership were breaking down in  
4 the Spring of 2014, the parties turned their negotiations toward Zeljko's separation  
5 from DSI, obtaining possession and control of the source code, obtaining a release of  
6 any and all of Zeljko's claims, and obtaining a commitment from Zeljko not to  
7 compete with DSI for at least two years.

8       18. During the last days of negotiations leading up to the Settlement  
9 Agreements Zeljko represented, for the very first time, that three binary subprograms  
10 included in DRM had been personally developed by him prior to working at DSI  
11 and, thus, that they were not works for hire belonging to DSI. (Those three programs  
12 (the "WS .dll Files") have the file extension ".dll" and are identified in Section 1.3 of  
13 the License Agreement contained in Exhibit "1" hereto as WS.Framework.dll,  
14 WSWebUI.dll, and WSCompArtWebUI.dll.) Those representations were made by  
15 Zeljko, individually and through his counsel, Robert Conrad, to Plaintiffs, through  
16 Malysz and Plaintiffs' counsel Chris Halling and Christopher Adishian. At about the  
17 time of the Settlement Agreements, Zeljko told DSI's programmers that he had  
18 obfuscated the WS .dll Files.

19       19. Because of the demands and threats of Zeljko, DSI was faced with the  
20 Draconian choice of agreeing to the buyout terms demanded by Zeljko, or facing the  
21 real threat that he would destroy the company.

22       20. In May 2014, Plaintiffs and the WestEnd Defendants entered into an  
23 integrated set of agreements to, among other things, allows Plaintiffs to obtain  
24 possession and control of the DRM source code and buy out and obtain a release of  
25 all of Zeljko's claims. A copy of those agreements (consisting of a master  
26 agreement, a Bill of Sale and Assignment, a License Agreement, and an Employment  
27 Agreement (collectively the "Settlement Agreements")) is attached hereto, marked as  
28 Exhibit "1," and incorporated herein. The purpose of the Settlement Agreements

1 was to buy Zeljko out of any claim to the business, to obtain his covenant not to  
2 compete with DSI, to obtain a transfer and assignment of all of Zeljko's claims, to  
3 obtain the DRM source code and intellectual property associated therewith, and to  
4 pay Zeljko over \$1,100,000.

5       21. DSI has recently determined that Zeljko's representations respecting the  
6 three WS .dll Files were false. The true facts are that the three WS .dll Files are  
7 nothing more than DSI's software, Component Arts development framework from  
8 Datazen licensed by DSI, and Microsoft development code licensed by DSI through  
9 its Microsoft channel partnership, all developed or licensed by DSI from 2005  
10 through 2014, repackaged, assembled and obfuscated by Zeljko to appear to be  
11 WestEnd software.

12       22. Neither DSI nor anyone else acting on DSI's behalf has decrypted, de-  
13 obfuscated, or used code-breaking software on the "WestEnd Software," defined in  
14 the License Agreement as the three WS .dll Files and a DNG software program  
15 created by Zeljko pursuant to a May 7, 2014 Software Agreement between Zeljko  
16 and DSI. To the contrary, there were unencrypted, unobfuscated versions of the  
17 three WS .dll Files on DSI's test servers, saved there by Zeljko in May 2014, while  
18 he was an employee of DSI, without encrypting or scrambling the source code. On  
19 May 27, 2015, the day before the Settlement Agreements were signed, DSI  
20 discovered those unencrypted, unobfuscated versions of the three WS .dll Files on  
21 DSI's test servers and decompiled those files.

22       23. In the course of fixing bugs that traced back to the three WS .dll files  
23 during the months following the execution of the Settlement Agreements, DSI  
24 determined that: (a) two of the WS .dll Files first appeared on DSI's servers in 2014,  
25 at which time similarly named DRM .dll files stopped being used; and (b) the three  
26 WS .dll Files were functionally equivalent to DSI's original DRM .dll files (because  
27 the code worked perfectly if the original DRM .dll files were installed and the WS  
28 .dll Files were removed). Accordingly, DSI concluded that the three WS .dll Files,

1 which Zeljko claimed to have personally developed prior to coming to work for DSI  
2 in 2007, in actuality are comprised of components of DSI's DRM software  
3 developed during Zeljko's employment at DSI as a work for hire.

4 **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

5 **(for Rescission Based upon Fraud in the Inducement)**

6 **(By All Plaintiffs as Against All Defendants)**

7 24. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege the allegations contained in paragraphs 1  
8 through 23, and incorporate them by reference as though fully set forth herein.

9 25. The WestEnd Defendants, and each of them, induced DSI to enter into  
10 the Settlement Agreements by representing, in April 2014, and during the time the  
11 Settlement Agreement was negotiated, that the three WS .dll Files included in DRM  
12 had been personally developed by Zeljko prior to working at DSI and, thus, that they  
13 were not works for hire belonging to DSI.

14 26. These representations were false, and were known by the WestEnd  
15 Defendants to be false at the time they were made. The true facts were that the three  
16 WS .dll Files included in DRM were nothing more than DSI's software, developed  
17 by DSI from 2005 through 2014, in addition to Component Art framework software  
18 and Microsoft development code licensed to DSI, repackaged, assembled and  
19 obfuscated by Zeljko to appear to be WestEnd software and that, as a result, the three  
20 WS .dll files were works for hire belonging to DSI.

21 27. The foregoing misrepresentations were made with the intention of  
22 inducing Plaintiffs to rely thereon and to enter into the Settlement Agreements.

23 28. Plaintiffs were ignorant of the falsity of the WestEnd Defendants'  
24 misrepresentations, and entered into the Settlement Agreements as a direct result of  
25 those representations, and further made substantial payments to the WestEnd  
26 Defendants in excess of \$873,000 in reliance thereon in order to, among other things,  
27 secure the right to use the three WS .dll Files. Had Plaintiffs known of the falsity of  
28 the WestEnd Defendants' representations, and, in particular, that the three WS .dll

1 Files had not been personally developed by Zeljko prior to working at DSI (and that  
2 they thus belonged to DSI as works for hire), Plaintiffs would not have entered into  
3 the Settlement Agreements.

4       29. As a result of their reasonable reliance upon Defendants' false  
5 representations, Plaintiffs have been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial but  
6 in excess of \$873,000.

7       30. The actions of the WestEnd Defendants described herein were taken  
8 with substantial certainty that such acts would cause harm to Plaintiffs, in conscious  
9 disregard for the rights of Plaintiffs and by conduct that was despicable and done  
10 with malice and ill-will and intent to harm Plaintiffs, such as to constitute oppression,  
11 fraud, malice, and despicable conduct under Cal. Civ. Code § 3294, entitling  
12 Plaintiffs to exemplary damages in an amount appropriate to punish and set an  
13 example of Defendants.

14       31. Plaintiffs will suffer substantial harm if the Settlement Agreements are  
15 not rescinded.

16       32. Plaintiffs intend the service of this First Amended Complaint to serve as  
17 notice of rescission of the Settlement Agreements, and hereby demand that  
18 Defendants restore to Plaintiffs the consideration furnished by Plaintiffs to  
19 Defendants pursuant to such Agreements, specifically the more than \$873,000 paid  
20 by Plaintiffs pursuant to such Agreements, and offer to return anything of value that  
21 Plaintiffs received under such Agreements.

33. Plaintiffs are entitled to a constructive trust over the monies that they  
paid Defendants as a result of Defendants' fraud, and all proceeds therefrom.

## PRAYER

25 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs Dependable Solutions, Inc., Dependable Rights,  
26 Ltd., and Martin Malysz pray for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as  
27 follows:

1. For rescission of the Settlement Agreements, and for return to Plaintiffs of all monies paid in connection with such Agreements, in an amount to be proven at trial, but in no event less than \$873,000;

2. For a constructive trust over all monies acquired by Defendants from Plaintiffs, and all proceeds therefrom;

3. For punitive damages in an amount to be established at the time of trial;

4. For reasonable attorneys' fees to the extent allowed by statute or contract;

5. For costs and expenses of suit; and

6. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: October 16, 2015

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP  
Jeffrey D. Wexler

MIRMAN, BUBMAN & NAHMIAS, LLP  
Michael E. Bubman

By: /s/ Jeffrey D. Wexler

Jeffrey D. Wexler, Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Dependable Solutions, Inc., Dependable  
Rights, Ltd. and Martin Malysz

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiffs Dependable Solutions, Inc., Dependable Rights, Ltd., and Martin Malysz demand trial by jury on all issues triable at law.

Dated: October 16, 2015

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP  
Jeffrey D. Wexler

MIRMAN, BUBMAN & NAHMIAS, LLP  
Michael E. Bubman

By: /s/ Jeffrey D. Wexler  
Jeffrey D. Wexler, Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
Dependable Solutions, Inc., Dependable  
Rights, Ltd. and Martin Malysz